

**Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma****CMP372: CUSC changes to reflect the terms of the UK's departure from the EU**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com) by **5pm on 11 June 2021**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Panel.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Paul Mullen at [paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com) or [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com)

Respondent details	Please enter your details
<b>Respondent name:</b>	Grace March
<b>Company name:</b>	Sembcorp Energy UK
<b>Email address:</b>	Grace.march@sembcorp.com
<b>Phone number:</b>	077554439689

**For reference the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:**

- The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;*
- Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and*
- Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

*\*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

**Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that the CMP372 Original Proposal better facilitates the Applicable Objectives?	Yes against ACO a) and e. This modification keeps the references to other regulations accurate and aligned with the wider legal environment
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	Yes
3	Do you have any other comments?	As part of the role of Critical Friend, the ESO should be prepared to help proposers understand and be aware of the difference between this version of the CUSC (once implemented) and the current baseline. For example, some potential proposers may not realise that EBR Article 18 places the same obligations in practicalities as EBGL Article 18